

The 17th December, 1971

No. 14064-4Lab-71/43173.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Shree Partap Loh Udyog, Bahadurgarh (Rohtak):—

BEFORE SHRI P. N. THUKRAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 68 of 1971

between

THE WORKMAN SHRI MUSSAFIR PANDEY AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S SHREE
PARTAP LOH UDYOG, BAHADURGARH (ROHTAK)

Present :—

Shri Rajinder Singh Dahiya, for the workman.

Shri D. C. Chadha, for the management.

AWARD

The following industrial disputes was referred to this Court, for adjudication,—*vide* Government Gazette Notification No. ID/RK/189-B, dated 16th June, 1971:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Mussafir Pandey was justified and in order ?
If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On receipt of the reference usual notices were issued to the parties. It is not necessary to decide the case on merits because a compromise has been effected between the parties which is Ex. M. 1. According to the settlement the workman is entitled to receive an amount equal to two months' wages in addition to his earned wages for the month of October, 1970 and he does not claim re-instatement. The representative of the workman admits the correctness of the settlement.

In view of the settlement, I hold that the termination of the services of the workman is not proved to be unjustified. I give my award accordingly. There will be no order as to costs.

P. N. THUKRAL,

Presiding Officer,

Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

Dated the 22nd November, 1971.

No. 2280, dated. Rohtak, the 22nd November, 1971

Forwarded in quadruplicate to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

P. N. THUKRAL,

Presiding Officer,

Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

No. 14112-4Lab-71/43385.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Raj Potteries Works, Gurgaon:—

BEFORE SHRI P. N. THUKRAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 157 of 1971

between

THE WORKMAN SHRIMATI KARMAYTI AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S
RAJ POTTERIES WORKS, GURGAON

Present :—

Shri Shardha Nand, for the workman.

Shri D. N. Tailong, for the management.

AWARD

The following industrial dispute was referred to this Court for adjudication.—*vide* Government Gazette Notification No. ID/GG/7-M' dated 13th September, 1971:—

Whether the termination of services of Shrimati Karmayti was justified and in order ?
If not, to what relief is she entitled ?

On receipt of the reference usual notices were issued to the parties. On date fixed Shri Shardha Nand was present on behalf of the workman. He has made a statement that the workman has been taken back into service with the continuity of service but without back wages and now there is no dispute between the parties. The representative of the management admits the correctness of the statement made on behalf of the workman.

In view of the statements, I hold that the workman is not entitled to anyother relief. I give my award accordingly. No order as to costs.

P. N. THUKRAL,

Presiding Officer,

[Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

Dated 2nd December, 1971.

No. 2374, dated, Rohtak the 3rd December, 1971.

Forwarded in quadruplicate to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

P. N. THUKRAL,

Presiding Officer,

Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

No. 14155-4Lab-71/43387.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Shree Bhartiya Udyog (P.) Ltd. Bahadurgarh (Rohtak):—

BEFORE SHRI P. N. THUKRAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 45 of 1971

between

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S SHREE BHARTIYA UDYOG (P.) LTD.,
BAHADURGARH (ROHTAK)

Present:—

Shri Rajinder Singh, for the workmen.

Shri D. C. Chadha, for the management.

AWARD

The following industrial dispute was referred to this Court for adjudication.—*vide* Government Gazette Notification No. ID/RK/155-B-70, dated 14th April, 1971:—

Whether the termination of services of Sarvshri Mange Ram, Ram Pat and Kanchan Ram were justified and in order ? If not, to what relief are they entitled ?

On receipt of the reference usual notices were issued to the parties and issue which arose to the pleadings were framed. It is, however not necessary to decide the case on merits because a compromise has been arrived at between the parties. The management have agreed to pay earned wages to the applicants from 1st September, 1970 to 23rd September, 1970, along with the wages due to them on account of earned leave up to 23rd September, 1970. They have also agreed to pay bonus and extra wages for 15 days. The applicants have given up their claim for re-instatement. The parties would be bound by the terms of the settlement. I give by award accordingly No order as to costs.

P. N. THUKRAL,

Presiding Officer,

Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

Dated 24th November, 1971.

No. 2352, dated, Rohtak, the 25th November, 1971.

Forwarded in quadruplicate to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

P.N. THUKRAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

No. 14158-4Lab-71/43391.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Kartar Singh, Brick-Kiln Owner, Village & Post Office Kohand, tehsil Panipat, district Karnal.—

BEFORE SHRI P. N. THUKRAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 127 of 1971

between

SHRI MACHHAR SINGH, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S KARTAR SINGH,
BRICK-KILN OWNER, V. & P. O. KOHAND, TEHSIL PANIPAT, DISTRICT
KARNAL

Present—

Nemo for the workman.

Nemo for the management.

AWARD

The following industrial dispute was referred to this Court for adjudication,—*vide* Government Gazette Notification No. ID/KNL/160-A/ dated 27th July, 1971 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Machhar Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the reference usual notices were issued to the parties for 16th September, 1971, under registered cover acknowledge due. The acknowledgement of the registered notice sent to the respondent was received back but the service of the workman was not effected. No party appeared in Court on the date fixed. The signatures on the acknowledgement receipt addressed to the management were not legible. It was, therefore, ordered that fresh notice to both the parties be issued for 4th November, 1971, but again nobody appeared on the date fixed. The notices sent to the parties have been received back unserved. The postal endorsement on the notices sent to the workman indicates that the workman has left without address. This time the service of the management could also not be effected. The order of the State Government referring the industrial dispute to this Court has been published in the official Gazette. In case, therefore, the workman wanted to change his address it was incumbent upon him to intimate to this Court his new address so that intimation of the date fixed for hearing could be given to him. Two attempts have been made to serve the workman on the address given by him which is mentioned in the order of reference. Since the workman is not available on the address given in the order of reference, it is not possible to proceed with the case further, as the workman has failed to appear and give evidence that the termination of his service was not justified, it must be held that he is not entitled to any relief. I give my award accordingly. No order as to costs.

P. N. THUKRAL,

Dated the 26th November, 1971.

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 2361, dated, Rohtak, the 29th November, 1971.

Forwarded in quadruplicate to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour & Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

P. N. THUKRAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.